



RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access

A retrospective approach to assess human health risks associated with growing air pollution in urbanized area of Thar Desert, western Rajasthan, India

Harcharan Singh Rumana¹, Ramesh Chandra Sharma¹, Vikas Beniwal² and Anil Kumar Sharma^{2*}

Abstract

Air pollution has been a matter of great concern globally because of the associated health risks to individuals. The situation is getting worse in developing countries with more urbanization, industrialization and more importantly the rapidly growing population posing a threat to human life in the form of pulmonary, cardiovascular, carcinogenic or asthmatic diseases by accumulating toxic pollutants, harmful gases, metals, hydrocarbons etc.

Objective: The present study was undertaken to assess the magnitude of ambient air pollutants and their human health risks like respiratory ailments, infectious diseases, cardiovascular diseases and cancer using a Retrospective Approach of Bart Ostra.

Methodology: The parameters PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, NO_x, SO₂, NH₃ and O₃ were monitored at all selected study sites monitored through a high volume sampler (APM 451 Envirotech, Envirotech Instruments Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, India). Retrospective Approach was used for assessment of risk factors and disease burden of respiratory and cardiopulmonary health problems.

Results: Environmental burden of disease showed that the problem of health related to air pollution is a main concern particularly in the growing cities of India. High to critical level of air pollution including PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, NO_x, SO₂, NH₃ and O₃ was observed in all seasons at traffic intersections and commercial sites. The respiratory infections (25% incidence in population exposed to indoor smoke problems) and a prevalence of asthma/COPD (4.4%) in households exposed to high vehicular pollution along with signs of coronary artery/heart disease and/or hypertension and cancers (37.9-52.2%), were reported requiring preventive measures.

Conclusion: The study reflects a great concern for the mankind with the need of having streamline ways to limit air pollution and emphasize upon efficiently determining the risk of illness upon exposure to air pollution.

Keywords: Air pollution, Human health, Environmental disease burden, Air quality

Introduction

The association of air pollution with human health is widely known as there are many health risks associated with the polluted environment. There has been continuous deterioration of ambient air and human health with the increase in population, industrialization and urbanization. Emission of toxic pollutants such as particulate matter

(PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀ & TSP) and green house gases like ozone (O₃), sulfur dioxide (SO₂), Nitrous oxide (NO₂) etc. have further aggravated this problem. Methane from swamps, livestock gases, chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) used in refrigerants and aerosol propellants has further complicated the problem of deteriorating the earth's ozone layer. There is a considerable risk to human health from these pollutants [1-7] and adequate information from the towns/cities of developing countries, where public health is a great concern, is required. All the particulate matters of varying sizes in combination with other air pollutants (gases, aromatic

* Correspondence: anibiotech18@gmail.com

²Department of Biotechnology, Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Mullana-Ambala, Haryana 133203, India

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

hydrocarbons, toxic metals etc.) have been found associated with health problems including aggravated asthma, lung cancer, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), cardiovascular diseases and premature death [8].

The deteriorating air quality and air pollution has also been found to be associated with chronic morbidity, mortality and impacts on birth outcomes especially in traffic related pollution, releasing aerosols into the atmosphere [9-15]. These aerosols are made of mineral dust, sulfates, sea salt, or carbon etc. The aerosols comprise of dust lifted into the atmosphere from deserts, evaporating droplets from the ocean, the smoke from wildfires or erupting volcanoes. Burning of fossil fuels by humans also adds up to pollute the atmosphere. Computer models indicate that, worldwide, the tiny aerosols cause about half as much cooling as greenhouse gases cause warming. Air pollution can cause coughing, burning eyes, and breathing problems while in the long run may even contribute to life-threatening diseases such as cancer. The elderly, the young, and those with cardiopulmonary disease, or severe bronchitis, are the most vulnerable to air pollution exposure with children at a greater risk. In recent years interest has been focused on day-to-day particulate air pollution of fine particles-PM_{2.5} and coarse particles-PM₁₀ in combination with toxic gases, leading to increased risk of adverse health outcomes. Each 10 µg/m³ elevation in fine particulate air pollution was associated with approximately 4%, 6%, and 8% increased risk of cardiopulmonary and lung cancer mortality respectively [16]. In addition, it has enhanced the risk for acute cerebro-vascular strokes [17,18], the aggravation of heart and lung disease and premature mortality [19-21]. The World Health Organization (WHO) estimated 84000 deaths directly attributable to outdoor air pollution in Indian cities.

The lack of services such as proper management of transport, paved roads and primitive roads and unplanned distribution of industries are all unable to keep pace with urban growth. All these in turn lead to an increase in the pollution levels. There is lack of such studies especially in India. The present study was undertaken to assess the magnitude of ambient air pollutants and their human health risks like respiratory ailments, infectious diseases, cardiovascular diseases and cancer using a Retrospective Approach of Bart Ostro.

Materials and methods

Study area and study sites

Jodhpur is a centrally located city in Rajasthan (India), where high industrial and commercial growth has been recorded since last decade. It is situated at latitude 26° 18' N, longitude 73° 04' E and an elevation of 230 m above msl (mean sea level) and expands over an area of 70 sq km and sub-divided into 60 wards by the

Municipal Corporation. This is a valiant sentinel of the Thar Desert, which surrounds its northwest direction while the Aravalli hills are in its southeast direction. The wind blows usually from SW direction but during monsoon and winters, it blows from NE direction. The average horizontal light luminescence maximum in this city comprises of extreme dryness with intense hot summers, raising temperature to 49°C, accompanied by frequent dusty storms and modest temperature in winters with annual average rainfall of 31.0 cm. The study for existing air pollution monitoring was compared with primary data collected at Jodhpur with secondary data of other major cities like Ajmer, Kota, Jaipur including capital of India Delhi. Risk factors were assessed in all five major cities of India and their comparative account has been discussed. Different study sites were selected for assessment of commercial/vehicular, industrial and residential air pollution. Level of air pollution was separately studied for these categories because acceptable limits for each of them are different as given by Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB), Government of India.

Ambient air quality monitoring

Different study sites were selected for assessment of commercial/vehicular, industrial and residential air pollution. The types of industries are related to dyes, textiles, timber and furniture, handicrafts, metals, chemicals, sandstones quarries, steel rolling mills, guar gum, pulses and oil mills etc. With Industries demand of transportation has also resulted massive addition of vehicles to the city where the residential areas too facing challenges throughout India.

Air quality monitoring at major 6 industrial sites, 9 traffic intersection sites and 14 residential sites on 8 hourly average bases were carried out during three seasons, covering winter, summer and rains (monsoon) to assess the magnitude of air pollution. The parameters PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀ (RSPM), NO_x, SO₂, NH₃ and O₃ were monitored at all selected study sites. A total of 45 samples from the industrial sites, 72 from the commercial/traffic intersection sites and 86 from the residential sites were collected during all the three seasons. These samples were analyzed using standard method as reported elsewhere [22-26]. The secondary data was also collected and pooled on similar pattern through correction factor from the secondary sources for other cities where RSPM and SPM was measured. RSPM was well defined as PM₁₀ whereas SPM was total suspended particulate matter. Therefore, after applying correction factor derived from primary studies and secondary data, PM_{2.5} was assessed. The control group in such studies is not possible however, the background lowest concentration for criteria air pollutants as defined by EPA and adopted by CPCB are taken as threshold limit and therefore, the occurrence of disease prevalence.

Sample collection and analysis

PM₁₀ was monitored through a high volume sampler (APM 451 Envirotech, Envirotech Instruments Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, India) in the ambient air. The instrument comprises of a protective housing, a high-speed electric motor blower, a filter holder for holding a 203 × 254-mm or 8" × 10" glass microfibre filters GF/A Whatman (Whatman International Ltd., England) a flow-controller which controls the flow rate at 0.9-1.4 m³/min over a minimal sampling period of 8 hrs as considered normal exposure work duration for inhabitants. The sampler was kept in the breathing zone at a height between 1.5-3.0 meters from the ground level at a central place. PM_{2.5} was measured through Envirotech air sampler APM 550. The APM 550 Envirotech air sampler is a microprocessor-controlled low-volume sampler (16.7 L/min), which measures logs flow rates and meteorological parameters. Ambient air passes through a PM_{2.5} sampling head where particles are being collected on a 2 μm PTFE 46.2 mm diameter (0.4 mm pore size) Whatman filter paper (Whatman International Ltd., USA). After collection of air samples, gravimetric method was applied for their analysis. The mass concentration of the suspended particulates was calculated and expressed in microgram per cubic meter air (μg/m³). Gaseous samples for SO₂, NO_x, NH₃ and O₃ were collected by impinger technique using a low volume sampler (Model EI Envirotech APM 411TE). For the collection of these samples, this sampling kit was attached with a high volume respirable dust sampler (EI Envirotech APM 451). The high volume sampler was kept for 8 hrs to sample the gaseous pollutants from various selected sites. Flow rate in collection of trace gases was maintained uniform, by frequently checking and correction of calibrated Rotameter. Impingers filled with reagents were placed in metal block kept in a cold box assembly. Temperature of block was reduced thermo-electronically and maintained constant inside the sampler at 15 ± 3°C using a thermostat. The samples collected were brought to laboratory and stored in the refrigerator at 4°C, before their chemical analysis. Sulfur dioxide (SO₂) concentration was measured by the spectrophotometric method using TCM and fuchsin (West-Gaeke method). Nitrogen dioxide (NO₂ -NO_x) was measured by spectrophotometric method (Jakobs – Hochheiser method) using NEDA and sulfanilamide. Ammonia (NH₃) and Ozone (O₃) were measured by spectrophotometrically.

Health assessment

Jodhpur is the largest district of Rajasthan comes under arid zone-Thar desert, whereas the urbanized area is second largest in the state afterwards of Jaipur. The urbanized area has population of about 1.0 million. Therefore, a population based cross sectional health survey was carried out in the entire city and its suburb covering all 60 wards (blocks) of the city i.e. upto municipal boundary

limits. A sample size of approx 10,000 individual was taken to find out prevalence of morbidity conditions with prevalence of 1% or more, keeping standard error within 10%. Assuming at least six members in a household, it was decided to survey 1667 households from the city. Data related to environment related health problems at household level were collected in a pre-tested schedule provided by Ministry of Environment and Forests as well as from authenticated secondary records. The nomenclature of diseases and their definition is followed as described by the WHO which is common practice in Indian hospitals and dispensaries to maintain the records. The detailed information about number of patients treated at OPD or indoors regarding cancer, hypertension, respiratory diseases and cardiovascular diseases were also collected from Seven number Dispensaries, from office of Chief Medical and Health Officer of Jodhpur and from Principal of four teaching hospitals of Dr. S. N. Medical College, Jodhpur. Detailed analysis of data about health and diseases and their association with different environmental parameters has been carried out using software Epi Info 2000.

Risk factor and environmental disease burden

A retrospective approach was used for assessment of risk factors and disease burden of respiratory and cardiopulmonary health problems. Recommended health outcomes and risk functions used to calculate the EBD has been shown in Table 1.

Calculating the disease burden

The relative risk for each included health outcome was calculated using the risk functions. Once the relative risks were determined, the AF –attributable factor (or IF impact factor) of health effects from air pollution for the exposed population was calculated by: $AF = (RR - 1) / (RR)$. Secondary data was also collected from Seven Government Dispensaries out of total 23 dispensaries in the city. Secondary data regarding respiratory diseases, gastrointestinal diseases and cancers were also collected from all major hospitals in the city except the private hospitals and clinics due to lack of practice to maintain records.

Results and discussion

The annual range, mean and standard deviation of respirable particulate matter up to size 10 microns (PM₁₀), fine particulate matter up to size 2.5 microns deep penetration in lungs (PM_{2.5}) and gases NO_x, SO₂, NH₃ and ground level O₃ of all selected sites for the industrial air pollution are given in Table 2. The exceedance factor (EF) for different sites to assess the pollution level was also calculated separately for each selected parameters. Forty-five samples were collected from the industrial sites for assessment of industrial air pollution in the city.

Table 1 Recommended health outcomes and risk functions used to calculate EBD

Outcomes and exposure metrics	Relative risk function ^a	Suggested β coefficient (95% CI)	Sub-group
All-cause mortality and short-term exposure to PM10 ^b	$RR = \exp[\beta(X-X_0)]$	0.0008 (0.0006, 0.0010) ^c	All ages
Respiratory mortality and short-term exposure to PM10 (all-cause mortality for upper bound where applicable)	$RR = \exp[\beta(X-X_0)]$	0.00166 (0.00034, 0.0030)	Age <5 yrs
Cardiopulmonary mortality and long-term exposure to PM2.5 (log - linear exposure) [*]	$RR = [(X + 1)/(X_0 + 1)]^\beta$	0.15515 (0.0562, 0.2541)	Age >30 yrs
Cardiopulmonary mortality and long-term exposure to PM2.5 (linear exposure)	$RR = \exp[\beta(X-X_0)]$	0.00893 (0.00322, 0.01464)	Age >30 yrs
Lung cancer mortality and long-term exposure to PM2.5 (log- linear exposure) [*]	$RR = [(X + 1)/(X_0 + 1)]^\beta$	0.23218 (0.08563, 0.37873)	Age >30 yrs
Lung cancer mortality and long-term exposure to PM2.5 (linear exposure)	$RR = \exp[\beta(X-X_0)]$	0.01267 (0.00432, 0.02102)	Age >30 yrs

^aX = Current pollutant concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) and X_0 = target or threshold concentration of pollutant ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$).

^bNot used in DALY calculations and should not be added to the other mortality estimates.

^cPresentation of a range rather than a point estimate is preferred.

^{*}Recommended relationships assuming background concentration for PM10 = 10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ and for PM2.5 = 3 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$.

The annual mean values of PM2.5 and PM10 were found within permissible limits of annual standards. The results shown in the Table 2 indicate that the concentration of both parameters was higher than the acceptable standards once or twice in a year indicating their seasonal effect and that was reported high during winters only. The higher level of air pollution during winter may be because of the high fuel usage in winter [27]. Trends of seasonal variation of all selected parameters were also observed. The levels of four gases at all industrial sites show that their EF values were below 0.5, indicating low level of pollution (Table 2). The data of NO₂ and SO₂ show declining trend from winter to rains whereas the values of ammonia and ozone were low in summers than in rains and winters. At all sites annual average of gaseous pollutants were found within the national ambient air quality standards (Table 2). The pooled and standardized average values of RSPM and SPM with their ratio (N = 185) in different cities of India have been shown in Table 3. Also the Environmental disease burden and Risk

Functions of particulate matters in five cities of India has been illustrated in Table 4.

Critical levels of air pollution were observed during peak traffic or rush hours particularly in winters at traffic intersections/commercial sites. The highest levels of PM2.5 and PM10 were found at the inner city roadsides. The levels of annual average of PM2.5 and PM10 in the breathing zones were also found to be high to critical level of pollution (Table 2). These traffic intersections were surrounded by residential settlements and population living there is likely to be exposed to these critical levels of air pollution. PM2.5 and PM10 pollution at traffic intersections/commercial sites were found high during all three seasons and varied between the categories of high to critical level. Their higher values along with higher to critical levels of PM2.5 and PM10 may have been caused by increasing number of vehicles in the city.

To assess the vehicular air pollution in the city, out of 56 samples collected from the commercial/traffic intersection sites (with nearby residential areas), 10 samples

Table 2 Air pollution of different sources in the entire Jodhpur city

Study areas		PM2.5	PM10	NO _x	SO ₂	NH ₃	O ₃
Industrial sites	Annual mean	71.4 ± 77.8	323.4 ± 236.2	23.44 ± 20.3	6.3 ± 5.64	26.4 ± 25.6	32.7 ± 25.1
	EF	0.6	0.9	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.2
	*Annual standard	120	360	80	80	100	120
Traffic intersections/ commercial sites	Annual mean	143.8 ± 90.0	470.6 ± 234.5	52.0 ± 35.5	16.4 ± 11.5	61.2 ± 39.9	82.9 ± 56.2
	EF	2.4	3.4	0.9	0.3	0.6	0.5
	*Annual standard	60	140	60	60	100	157
Residential sites	Annual mean	54.3 ± 28.3	291.4 ± 129.6	15.4 ± 6.0	5.5 ± 2.3	35.6 ± 18.9	24.7 ± 11.9
	EF	0.9	2.1	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.2
	*Annual standard	60	140	60	60	100	157

^{*}National Ambient Air Quality Standards-where standards considered for PM2.5-RSPM, for PM10-SPM and Ozone for 8 hours standards.

Values of all parameters are in $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ except the Exceedance Factor (EF).

Table 3 The pooled and standardised average values of PM2.5 and PM10 with their ratio (N = 185) in different cities of India

	PM2.5	SD	PM10	SD	PM2.5:PM10
Primary data					
Jodhpur	85.6	75.88	353.4	208.62	0.24
Secondary data					
Jaipur	94	64.0	227	118.1	0.41
Kota	96	55.9	253	143.0	0.38
Udaipur	94	56.6	277	135.9	0.34
NCR Delhi	171	108.0	433	173.0	0.39

*Primary data monitored for PM2.5 and PM10, **Secondary data for PM2.5 and PM10 pooled -standardized from RSPM and SPM.

were collected in winters, 25 in summers and 21 during the rainy season on 8 hourly basis. It was observed that the annual averages of PM2.5 and PM10 at different sites were above the national ambient air quality standards. The annual average of PM2.5 and PM10 at all sites were reported 2–3 times higher than the annual standards as given in the Tables 2, 3, 4. PM2.5 and PM10 were higher in winters than summer and rain seasons, showing critical levels of air pollution. The seasonal trend of air quality (PM2.5, PM10, TSP) at traffic intersections and road corridors across the city indicate that it was higher throughout the year. The annual average of these four gaseous pollutants at vehicular/commercial sites of the city were found within the limits of annual standards except during winter season when these were higher (Tables 2, 3, 4). The seasonal observations of these gaseous pollutants showed a declining trend from winters to rains. The concentration of NO_x, NH₃ and O₃ gases were observed higher than the national ambient air quality standards in winters but were within the limits in summers and rainy seasons. This indicates the presence of winter smog at all the traffic intersection/commercial sites. A declining trend of O₃, NO_x and SO₂ from winter to summer and then to rains while level of NH₃ was least in summers. Simultaneously, 84 air samples were collected from the residential sites during summer, rainy and winter seasons. The PM2.5 at all sites were found within limits of the national ambient air quality standards. The concentrations of PM10 in all study sites were found above the annual standards. Majority (71.3%) of households were exposed to medium level of vehicular traffic pollution, followed by 17.6% to low level and 11.1 percent of high vehicular pollution. Prevalence of asthma/COPD was 4.4% in households exposed to high vehicular pollution, 3.2% in those exposed to medium vehicular pollution and 2.6% in those exposed to low vehicular pollution (Table 5). Similarly 25.5% of the population felt indoor smoke problems where the prevalence of respiratory diseases was 8.1%, as compared to 6.2% ($p = 0.001$) in those not having indoor smoke pollution. Prevalence

of tuberculosis was 1.5% in former group of households while it was 0.5% in later group (Chi square = 25.4; $p = 0.00004$) (Table 6).

It is evident that southwest winds might also contribute to higher levels of pollution in the city. According to our surveillance report about 8.6% of the population had the industrial air pollution in their surroundings (3.6% mines, and 5.6% other industries). Secondly annual standards for industrial areas are more than double of commercial or residential sites. The values of PM2.5 and PM10 remain high here throughout the year in terms of the population exposure. In the 8.6% population, which had the industrial air pollution in their surroundings, prevalence of respiratory diseases was 9.9% as compared to 6.4% in remaining population ($p = 0.005$). The size of the particle is quite significant because particles < 2–3 μm in aerodynamic diameter tend to deposit deep in the lungs, in terminal bronchioles and alveoli while large particles tend to deposit in the upper airways [28]. TSP includes all suspended particles up to 30–40 μm size [29]. This inhalable fraction is equivalent to delivered dose for particles greater than approximately 25 micron (aerodynamic particle diameter), which get deposited completely and almost exclusively in the extrathoracic airways.

Prevalence of respiratory and cardiovascular diseases including hypertension may be attributed to the higher levels of air pollution in the city. Based on their housing details, 2.9% of individuals were slum dwellers (lowest income group), 46.7% lived in low income settlement, 47.3% in middle-income settlement and 3% lived in posh colonies (high income settlement). These settlements could vary in indoor air pollution, as 25.5% of the population felt indoor smoke problems. About 73.1% population solely used LPG as fuel in the households, where as about 14.1% used only biomass (wood, dried cow dung etc.) and about 3% depended on combination of kerosene/biomass/LPG. The association between fuel used and prevalence of respiratory diseases are mentioned in Table 7. Maximum prevalence of respiratory diseases (10%) was in the population, using biomass as fuel. Prevalence of respiratory diseases was comparatively lower (6.1%) among LPG users. Personal habits including smoking could also have contributed to causation of respiratory diseases. Prevalence of smoking was 3.1% (3.0% male, 0.1% female). Of all 3.0% (2.1% males, 0.9% females) used oral tobacco (zarda) and 5.9% (4.3% males, 1.6% females) consumed gutka; while 88.0% of population was not addicted to such habits. The population density was also very high around the traffic intersections/commercial sites. The narrow width of roads and tall buildings further aggravated the pollution levels.

The gaseous air pollution at residential sites was also measured and it was found within range of the national ambient air quality standards. The EF was also calculated

Table 4 The Environmental disease burden and risk functions of particulate matters in five cities of India

Outcomes and exposure metrics*	Sub-group	Risk function of particulate matter in some Indian cities (CI of 95%) and disease burden (AF)									
		Jodhpur		Jaipur		Kota		Udaipur		Delhi	
		RR (CI)	AF (IF)	RR (CI)	AF (IF)	RR (CI)	AF (IF)	RR (CI)	AF (IF)	RR (CI)	AF (IF)
All-cause mortality and short-term exposure to PM10 (linear exposure)	All ages	1.29 (1.21-1.37)	0.22	1.19 (1.14-1.24)	0.16	1.22 (1.16-1.28)	0.18	1.24 (1.17-1.01)	0.19	1.40 (1.29-1.53)	0.29
Respiratory mortality and short-term exposure to PM10 (all-cause mortality for upper bound where applicable) (linear exposure)	Age <5 years	1.69 (1.11-2.57)	0.41	1.43 (1.08-1.92)	0.30	1.50 (1.09-2.07)	0.33	1.56 (1.10-2.23)	0.36	2.02 (1.15-1.27)	0.50
Cardiopulmonary mortality and long-term exposure to PM2.5 or (log- linear exposure)	Age >30 years	1.67 (1.20-2.32)	0.40	1.64 (1.20-2.24)	0.39	1.64 (1.20-2.25)	0.39	1.63 (1.20-2.24)	0.39	1.79 (1.24-2.60)	0.44
Cardiopulmonary mortality and long-term exposure to PM2.5 (linear exposure)	Age >30 years	2.56 (1.40-4.65)	0.61	2.25 (1.34-3.79)	0.56	2.30 (1.35-3.90)	0.56	2.25 (1.34-3.79)	0.56	4.48 (1.72-11.70)	0.78
Lung cancer and long-term exposure to PM2.5 or (log linear exposure)	Age >30 years	2.15 (1.33-3.50)	0.54	2.09 (1.31-3.32)	0.52	2.10 (1.31-3.35)	0.52	2.09 (1.31-3.32)	0.52	2.39 (1.38-4.16)	0.58
Lung cancer and long-term exposure to PM2.5 (linear exposure)	Age >30 years	3.78 (1.57-9.09)	0.74	3.17 (1.48-6.77)	0.68	3.25 (1.50-7.06)	0.69	3.17 (1.48-6.77)	0.68	8.40 (2.07-34.17)	0.88

*Recommended relationships assuming background concentration for PM10= 10 µg/m³ and for PM2.5= 3 µg/m³ in current perspectives.

Table 5 Prevalence of Asthma/COPD according to level of vehicular traffic pollution in houses

Level of vehicular traffic pollution in House	Proportion of HH	Prevalence of asthma/COPD
High	11.1%	4.4%
Medium	71.3%	3.2%
Low	17.6%	2.6%

and all values were below 0.5 indicating low level of air pollution. Annual averages of all gases at residential sites were found within the limits of national ambient air quality standards. The EF values of NO_x, SO₂, NH₃ and O₃ were 0.2, 0.1, 0.4 and 0.2 observed respectively, indicating low level of gaseous air pollution. Higher concentration of PM₁₀ was found at residential sites (Table 2). PM_{2.5} was ranging between low levels to moderate level of air pollution. The pollution was found high at those residential sites, which are influenced by movements of pollutants generated from free flowing traffic road corridors or influenced nearby the stone quarrying mines or by other activities. The levels of PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ at industrial sites were higher in winters (EF = 1.3) (Tables 2 and 3) though their annual means were within the limits of annual standards. Similar observations about PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ have been made earlier as well [30-32]. Kumar and Joseph [33] were also reported that the concentration of PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, and NO₂ in a residential cum commercial area of Mumbai city in India. PM_{2.5} levels also exceeded 24 hourly average USEPA standard (U.S. EPA, 2004) during winter season indicating unhealthy air quality. Present study revealed moderate to critical levels of PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} in Jodhpur and CPCB has also depicted similar levels of PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} in five cities of Rajasthan, India (<http://www.cpcbodb.nic.in>). Arena et al. [34] reported that there is a positive association of PM₁₀ with hospital admissions, and the effect is related to current-day PM₁₀ levels. The air pollution-cardiac disease association was not significantly influenced by gender or community level of education or income [35]. The earlier reports also established an association between air pollutant levels and abnormal ventilatory functions in three sites of Mumbai city in India near industrial, commercial and residential areas [36]. A high prevalence of approximately 30-50% of respiratory symptoms was reported. However, In Jodhpur city, respiratory diseases were higher in the commercial areas, which are associated with the higher mean and peak levels of SO₂ and

Table 6 Prevalence of respiratory diseases according to level of indoor air pollution

Level of indoor air pollution in-house	Proportion of HH	Prevalence of Resp. Dis.	Prevalence of Pulm. TB
High	25.5%	8.1%	1.5%
Low	%	6.2%	0.5%

Table 7 Prevalence of respiratory diseases according to fuel used

FUEL	Prevalence of respiratory diseases (Percent)	Fuel users	
		No.	Percent
Biomass	10.0	1306	14.1
Kerosene	7.9	76	0.8
Kerosene/biomass	8.6	243	2.6
LPG	6.1	6792	73.1
LPG/biomass	5.6	715	7.7
LPG/kerosene	8.1	86	0.9
LPG/kerosene/biomass	4.3	69	0.7
TOTAL	6.7	9287	100.0

the NO₂. The pollution control measures should also aim at the peak levels of pollutants as they have been shown to exacerbate the respiratory symptom [36]. In the present study prevalence of asthma/COPD (Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Diseases) was 3.4%, which is close to prevalence of chronic bronchitis (2.3-5.0%) in four areas of Mumbai city [37] and 3.3% in North Ambedkar district in Tamil Nadu [38]. In a multi-centric study in India, prevalence of COPD (chronic obstructive pulmonary disease) was 4.1%, with a male to female ratio of 1.56:1 and a smoker to non-smoker ratio of 2.65:1 in urban and the rural populations at Bangalore, Chandigarh, Delhi and Kanpur [39], which is quite in agreement with our observations. Overall the approach used in the study has been quite successful, despite few limitations such as 1) the actual magnitude of the effect and the appropriate confidence interval show little uncertainty 2) Also some of the estimated health effects may include other co-pollutants as well affecting the outcome 3) Additionally one has to assume a baseline incidence level for the city and the incidence change over time as health habits, income and other factors change.

Conclusions

High to critical level of air pollution including PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, NO_x, SO₂, NH₃ and O₃ was observed in all seasons at traffic intersections and commercial sites, more so in winter with majority of households exposed to medium level of vehicular traffic pollution. There appeared to be a prevalence of asthma/COPD (4.4%) in households exposed to high vehicular pollution. Similarly 25.5% of the population which was exposed to indoor smoke problems, there was a major incidence of respiratory diseases. Some of the population also showed a history of coronary artery/heart disease and/or hypertension, COPD/asthma, acute lower respiratory infections and cancers which might in part be due to built up of gaseous and particulate pollutants. Environmental burden of disease showed that the problem of health related to air pollution is a main concern

particularly in the growing cities of India. About 37.9-52.2% of the population under study was found to be facing the cardiopulmonary and lung cancer risks (age group >30 years) which require immediate preventive measures. The study will further guide us to assess the health risks associated with polluted environments especially in children to find the relative respiratory and other risk functions in them.

Abbreviations

PM: Particulate matter; PM10: Particulate matter of equivalent or upto 10 micron size; PM2.5: Particulate matter of equivalent or upto 2.5 micron size; AFs: Attributable fractions; EBD: Environmental burden of the disease; CFCs: Chlorofluorocarbons; CHD: Coronary heart disease; TSP: Total suspended particles; COPD: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.

Competing interests

Authors declare that there exist no potential conflict of interest or competing interests regarding publication of the said manuscript.

Author contributions

Following are the details of the contributions made by each of the authors for the manuscript: Dr. HSR, PhD was the key person who worked diligently to furnish this study and manuscript. He performed each of the experiments with his own hands. Dr. RCS, D.Sc was the principal investigator of the Project and majority of the experiments were done under his supervision. Dr. VB analyzed part of the findings referred in this study and helped in preparation of the manuscript. Dr. AKS was involved in analysis part of the data pertaining to this study and was instrumental in preparing and reviewing this manuscript including tables and figures. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

Our thanks to the Ministry of Environment and Forests, Government of India for sponsoring this project. We acknowledge Chief Medical and Health Officer and Principal of Dr. Sampurnanand Medical College Jodhpur for ensuring the availability of the patients. Our special thanks to Dr. Murli L. Mathur (MD, PSM), Senior Scientists Dr. V. Joshi and Dr. A.K. Dixit (Biostatistics) and other technical and administrative staff of our Desert Medicine Research Centre, who have rendered their substantial support during the entire study period.

Author details

¹Desert Medicine Research Centre (Indian Council of Medical Research), Jodhpur 342 005, Rajasthan, India. ²Department of Biotechnology, Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Mullana-Ambala, Haryana 133203, India.

Received: 22 February 2013 Accepted: 19 November 2013

Published: 9 January 2014

References

- Ostro B, Chestnut L: **Assessing the health benefits of reducing particulate matter air pollution in the United States.** *Environ Res* 1998, **76**:94-106.
- Künzli N, Kaiser R, Medina S, Studnicka M, Chanel O, Filliger P, Herry M, Horak F Jr, Puybonnieux-Textier V, Quénel P, Schneider J, Seethaler R, Vergnaud JC, Sommer H: **Public-health impact of outdoor and traffic-related air pollution: a European assessment.** *Lancet* 2000, **356**:795-801.
- Brunekreef B, Holgate ST: **Air pollution and health.** *Lancet* 2002, **360**:1233-1242.
- Weis BK, Balshaw D, Barr JR, Brown D, Ellisman M, Lioy P, Omenn G, Potter JD, Smith MT, Sohn L, Suk WA, Sumner S, Swenberg J, Walt DR, Watkins S, Thompson C, Wilson SH: **Personalized exposure assessment: promising approaches for human environmental health research.** *Environ Health Perspect* 2005, **113**:840-848.
- Gilli G, Traversi D, Rovere R, Pignata C, Schilirò T: **Airborne particulate matter: Ionic species role in different Italian sites.** *Environ Res* 2007, **103**:1-8.
- Bell ML, Cifuentes LA, Davis DL, Cushing E, Telles AG, Gouveia N: **Environmental health indicators and a case study of air pollution in Latin American cities.** *Environ Res* 2011, **111**:57-66.
- Braniš M, Šafránek J: **Characterization of coarse particulate matter in school gyms.** *Environ Res* 2011, **111**:485-491.
- U.S. EPA: **Air Quality Criteria for Particulate Matter (Final Report, Oct. 2004).** U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Washington, DC: EPA 600/P-99/002aF-bF; 2004.
- Wjst M, Reitmeir P, Dold S, Wulff A, Nicolai T, von Loeffelholz-Colberg E, von Mutius E: **Road traffic and adverse effects on respiratory health in children.** *Br Med J* 1993, **307**:596-600.
- Weiland SK, Mundt KA, Rueckmann A, Keil U: **Self-reported wheezing and allergic rhinitis in children and traffic density on street of residence.** *Ann Epidemiol* 1994, **4**:243-247.
- Brunekreef B, Janssen NAH, de Hartog J, Harssema H, Knappe M, van Vliet P: **Air pollution from truck traffic and lung function in children living near motorways.** *Epidemiology* 1997, **8**:298-303.
- Hoek G, Brunekreef B, Goldbohm S, Fischer P, van den Brandt PA: **Association between mortality and indicators of traffic-related air pollution in the Netherlands: a cohort study.** *Lancet* 2002, **360**:1203-1209.
- Heinrich J, Wichmann HE: **Traffic related pollutants in Europe and their effect on allergic disease.** *Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol* 2004, **4**:341-348.
- Cyrus J, Hochadel M, Gehring U, Hoek G, Diegmann V, Brunekreef B, Heinrich J: **GIS-Based Estimation of Exposure to Particulate Matter and NO₂ in an Urban Area: Stochastic versus Dispersion Modeling.** *Environ Health Persp* 2005, **113**:987-996.
- Brauer M, Lencar C, Tamburic L, Koehoorn M, Demers P, Karr C: **A cohort study of traffic related air pollution impacts on birth outcomes.** *Environ Health Perspect* 2008, **116**:680-686.
- Pope CA III, Burnett RT, Thun MJ, Calle EE, Krewski D, Ito K, Thurston GD: **Lung cancer, cardiopulmonary mortality, and long-term exposure to fine particulate air pollution.** *J Amer Med Assoc* 2002, **287**:1132-1141.
- Hong YC, Lee JT, Kim H, Kwon HJ: **Air pollution: a new risk factor in ischemic stroke mortality.** *Stroke* 2002, **33**:2165-2169.
- Tsai SS, Goggins WB, Chiu HF, Yang CY: **Evidence for an association between air pollution and daily stroke admissions in Kaohsiung, Taiwan.** *Stroke* 2003, **34**:2612-2616.
- Samet JM, Dominici F, Currier FC, Coursac I, Zeger S: **Fine particulate air pollution and mortality in 20 US cities, 1987-1994.** *N Engl J Med* 2000, **343**:1742-1749.
- Brook RD, Franklin B, Cascio W, Hong Y, Howard G, Lipsett M: **Air pollution and cardiovascular disease: a statement for healthcare professionals from the expert panel on population and prevention science of the American Heart Association.** *Circulation* 2004, **109**:2655-2671.
- Johnson PRS, Graham JJ: **Fine Particulate Matter National Ambient Air Quality Standards: Public Health Impact on Populations in the Northeastern United States.** *Environ Health Persp* 2005, **113**:1140-1147.
- West PW, Ordoveza F: **Elimination of Nitrogen Dioxide Interference in the Determination of Sulphur Dioxide.** *Anal Chem* 1962, **34**:1324-1325.
- West PW, Gaeke GC: **Fixation of Sulphur Dioxide as Sulfotomercurate III and Subsequent Colorimetric Determination.** *Anal Chem* 1956, **28**:1816-1819.
- Jacob MB, Hochheiser S: **Continuous sampling and ultra-micro determination of nitrogen dioxide in air.** *Anal Chem* 1958, **30**:426-428.
- Christie AA, Lidzey RG, Radford DWF: **Field method for the determination of Nitrogen dioxide in Air.** *Analyst* 1970, **95**:510-524.
- Margeson JH, Beard ME, Suggs JC: **Evaluation of the sodium arsenite method for measurement of NO₂ in ambient air.** *J Air Pollut Control Assoc* 1977, **27**:553-556.
- Lee HS, Kang CM, Kang BW, Kim HK: **Seasonal variations of acidic air pollution in Seoul, South Korea.** *Atmos Environ* 1999, **33**:3143-3152.
- Lambert WE, Samet JM, Dockery DW: **Community air pollution.** In *Environmental and Occupational Medicine*. Edited by Rom WN. Philadelphia: Lippincott-Raven; 1998:1501-1522.
- Penner JE, Connell PS, Wuebbles DJ, Covey CC: **Climate change and its interactions with air chemistry: perspective and research needs.** In *The potential effects of Global Climate Change on the United States. Appendix F: Air Quality*. Edited by Smith JB, Tirpak DA. Washington, DC: U.S.A.: Environment Protection Agency. EPA-230-05-89-056.
- Latha KM, Badarinath KV: **Seasonal variations of PM10 and PM2.5 particles loading over tropical urban environment.** *Int J Environ Health Res* 2005, **15**:63-68.
- Feng J, Chan CK, Fang M, Hu M, He L, Tang X: **Impact of meteorology and energy structure on solvent extractable organic compounds of PM2.5 in Beijing, China.** *Chemosphere* 2005, **61**:623-632.

32. Celis JE, Flocchini RG, Carvacho OF, Morales JR, Zaror CA, Inzunza JC, Pineda MJ: **Analysis of aerosol particles and coarse particulate matter concentrations in Chillan, Chile, 2001–2003.** *J Air Waste Manag Assoc* 2006, **56**:152–158.
33. Kumar R, Joseph AE: **Air pollution concentrations of PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀ and NO₂ at ambient and Kerbsite and their correlation in Metro City - Mumbai.** *Environ Monit Assess* 2006, **119**:191–199.
34. Arena VC, Mazumdar S, Zborowski JV, Talbott EO, He S, Chuang YH, Schwerha JJ: **A retrospective investigation of PM₁₀ in ambient air and cardiopulmonary hospital admissions in Allegheny County, Pennsylvania: 1995–2000.** *J Occup Environ Med* 2006, **48**:38–47.
35. Cakmak S, Dales RE, Judek S: **Do gender, education, and income modify the effect of air pollution gases on cardiac disease?** *J Occup Environ Med* 2006, **48**:89–94.
36. Kumar KS, Prasad CE, Balakrishna N, Rao KV, Reddy PU: **Respiratory symptoms and spirometric observations in relation to atmospheric pollutants in a sample of urban population.** *Asia Pac J Public Health* 2000, **12**:58–64.
37. Kamat SR, Doshi VB: **Sequential health effect study in relation to air pollution in Bombay, India.** *Eur J Epidemiol* 1987, **3**:265–277.
38. Ray D, Abel R, Selvaraj KG: **A 5-yr prospective epidemiological study of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in rural south India.** *Indian J Med Res* 1995, **101**:238–244.
39. Jindal SK, Aggarwal AN, Chaudhry K, Chhabra SK, D'Souza GA, Gupta D, Katiyar SK, Kumar R, Shah B, Vijayan VK: **Asthma Epidemiology Study Group. A multicentric study on epidemiology of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and its relationship with tobacco smoking and environmental tobacco smoke exposure.** *Indian J Chest Dis Allied Sci* 2006, **48**:23–29.

doi:10.1186/2052-336X-12-23

Cite this article as: Rumana et al.: A retrospective approach to assess human health risks associated with growing air pollution in urbanized area of Thar Desert, western Rajasthan, India. *Journal of Environmental Health Sciences & Engineering* 2014 **12**:23.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

